

EVOLUTION OF THE SYNCHROTRON SPECTRUM IN MRK 421 DURING THE 1998 CAMPAIGN

CHIHARU TANIHATA^{1,2}, JUN KATAOKA³, TADAYUKI TAKAHASHI^{1,2}, AND GREG M. MADEJSKI⁴
tanihata@astro.isas.ac.jp
to appear in *ApJ*

ABSTRACT

The uninterrupted 7-day *ASCA* observations of the TeV blazar Mrk 421 in 1998 have clearly revealed that X-ray flares occur repeatedly. In this paper, we present the results of the time-resolved spectral analysis of the combined data taken by *ASCA*, *RXTE*, *BeppoSAX*, and *EUVE*. In this object – and in many other TeV blazars – the precise measurement of the shape of the X-ray spectrum, which reflects the high energy portion of the synchrotron component, is crucial in determining the high energy cutoff of the accelerated electrons in the jet. Thanks to the simultaneous broadband coverage, we measured the 0.1–25 keV spectrum resolved on time scales as short as several hours, providing a great opportunity to investigate the detailed spectral evolution at the flares. By analyzing the time subdivided observations, we parameterize the evolution of the synchrotron peak, where the radiation power dominates, by fitting the combined spectra with a quadratic form (where the νF_ν flux at the energy E obeys $\log \nu F_\nu(E) = \log(\nu F_{\nu,\text{peak}}) - \text{const} \times (\log E - \log E_{\text{peak}})^2$). In this case, we show that there is an overall trend that the peak energy E_{peak} and peak flux $\nu F_{\nu,\text{peak}}$ both increase or decrease together. The relation of the two parameters is best described as $E_{\text{peak}} \propto \nu F_{\nu,\text{peak}}^{0.7}$ for the 1998 campaign. Similar results were derived for the 1997 observation, while the relation gave a smaller index when included both 1997 and 1998 data. On the other hand, we show that this relation, and also the detailed spectral variations, differ from flare to flare within the 1998 campaign. We suggest that the observed features are consistent with the idea that flares are due to a appearance of a new spectral component. With the availability of the simultaneous TeV data, we also show that there exists a clear correlation between the synchrotron peak flux and the TeV flux.

Subject headings: BL Lacertae objects: individual (Mrk 421) — galaxies: active — radiation mechanisms: non-thermal — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

The uninterrupted 7-day *ASCA* observation of the TeV blazar Mrk 421 in 1998 has revealed that day-scale X-ray flares seen in previous observations were probably unresolved superpositions of many smaller flares (Takahashi et al. 2000). The nearly-continuous observation allowed not only the possibility to track the individual flares entirely from the rise to decay, but it also enabled quantitative statistical tests of the time series by employing the power spectrum or the structure function (Kataoka et al. 2001; Tanihata et al. 2001).

The main characteristic of blazars is their high flux observed from radio to γ -rays coupled with strong variability and strong polarization. These properties are now successfully explained by the scenario where blazars are active galactic nuclei (AGN), possessing jets aligned close to the line of sight, and accordingly the Doppler-boosted non-thermal emission from the jet dominates other emission components (e.g. Blandford & Königl 1979; Urry & Padovani 1995). This is what makes blazars critical in understanding jets in AGN.

The broadband spectra of blazars consist of two peaks, one in the radio to optical-UV range (and in some cases, reaching to the X-ray band), and the other in the hard X-ray to γ -ray region. The high polarization of the radio

to optical emission suggests that the lower energy peak is produced via the synchrotron process by relativistic electrons in the jet. The higher energy peak is believed to be due to Compton up-scattering of seed photons by the same population of relativistic electrons. Several possibilities exist for the source of the seed photons; these can be the synchrotron photons internal to the jet (Jones, O’Dell, & Stein 1974; Ghisellini & Maraschi 1989), but also external, such as from the broad emission line clouds (Sikora, Begelman, & Rees 1994) or from the accretion disk (Dermer, Schlickeiser, & Mastichiadis 1992; Dermer & Schlickeiser 1993).

The blazars with peak synchrotron output in the X-ray range also emit strongly in the γ -ray energies, and the brightest of those have been detected in the TeV range with ground-based Cherenkov arrays. These are the so-called “TeV blazars.” In TeV blazars, variability of the synchrotron flux is measured to be the strongest and most rapid in the X-ray band, and thus it provides the best opportunity to study the electrons that are accelerated to the highest energies. In particular, the synchrotron peak is a very important observable in two aspects: first because the flux at the peak represents the total emitted power from the blazar, and secondly because the peak frequency reflects the maximum energy of radiating particles gained

¹ Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Sagami-hara, 229-8510, Japan

² Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

³ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, 152-8551, Japan

⁴ Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA, 94309-4349, USA

in the acceleration process.

Mrk 421 is among the closest known blazars, at redshift of 0.031, and was the first blazar (and also the first extragalactic source) discovered to be a TeV emitter. It was first detected as a weak source by EGRET (Lin et al. 1992), and 9 months later, *Whipple* detected a clear signal from this object between 0.5 and 1.5 TeV (Punch et al. 1992; Petry et al. 1996). Flux variability on various time scales has been observed, including a very short flare with a duration of ~ 1 hour (Gaidos et al. 1996). Ever since, Mrk 421 has been repeatedly confirmed to be a TeV source by various ground-based telescopes. It has also been one of the most studied blazars, and have been a target of several multi-wavelength campaigns (Macomb et al. 1995; Maraschi et al. 1999; Takahashi et al. 2000).

The multi-wavelength campaign of Mrk 421 in 1998 was one of the first opportunities to observe a blazar in the TeV range using several telescopes located in different locations in the world, so as to have as continuous coverage as possible (Aharonian et al. 1999; Piron et al. 2001). Observations in other frequencies included X-ray observations by *ASCA*, *RXTE* (Takahashi et al. 2000), and *BeppoSAX* (Maraschi et al. 1999; Fossati et al. 2000a,b), EUV observations by *EUVE*, optical observation with BVRI filters organized by the WEBT collaboration (<http://www.to.astro.it/blazars/webt/>), and radio observations at the Metsähovi Radio Observatory.

In this paper, we present the results of the spectral analysis of X-ray and EUV data during the 1998 April campaign. In particular, we estimate the location of the synchrotron peak in the νF_ν spectrum. So far, quantitative studies of the variation of the synchrotron peak have been conducted only for the two brightest blazars, Mrk 421 and Mrk 501. The largest variation of the synchrotron peak energy was observed in Mrk 501 by *BeppoSAX*, where the peak energy shifted ~ 2 orders of magnitude (Pian et al. 1998). Collecting data from different epochs (separated by as long as years), Tavecchio et al. (2001) have shown the relation of the form $E_{\text{peak}} \propto F_{0.1-100\text{keV}}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. A similar analysis was done by Fossati et al. (2000b) for the Mrk 421 data obtained by *BeppoSAX* in 1997 and 1998, which showed a relation of $E_{\text{peak}} \propto F_{0.1-10\text{keV}}^n$ with $n=0.55$. In this paper we describe a continuous 7-day variation of the synchrotron peak, which allows us to investigate the dynamical change of the synchrotron spectrum during the flares.

We first describe the observations and data analysis in §2. The results of spectral analysis are described in §3; a summary of the results and a discussion of our findings with the emphasis on the temporal evolution of the spectrum are presented in §4.

2. OBSERVATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

The week-long multi-frequency campaign of Mrk 421 was carried out in April 1998 (Takahashi et al. 2000). The goal of this paper is to study the evolution of the high energy synchrotron spectrum as a function of time during the campaign, and also the correlation with the TeV flux. This requires the best possible spectral coverage in the X-ray range, for which we assembled all available data collected during the campaign, including: pointings by X-ray observatories *ASCA*, *RXTE*, and *BeppoSAX*, as well

as the EUV observations by *EUVE*.

2.1. ASCA

Mrk 421 was observed with *ASCA* for 7 days continuously (except for the Earth occultation) during 1998 April 23.97 – 30.8 UT (PI: T. Takahashi). The *ASCA* detectors – two SISs (Solid-state Imaging Spectrometers; Burke et al. 1991; Yamashita et al. 1997) and two GISs (Gas Imaging Spectrometers; Ohashi et al. 1996) were in operation. We refer to Tanihata et al. (2001) to the details of the observation modes and data reduction. The obtained spectra were rebinned such that all bins have the same statistics.

Since late 1994, the efficiency of both SIS detectors below ~ 1 keV has been decreasing over time. The problem is believed to be caused by the increased residual dark current level, and also by the decrease in the charge transfer efficiency, although effort is still underway to model the effects. While it has been reported that it may be possible to parameterize the degradation by an additional absorption column as a function of time (Yaqoob 2000), since our observation concerns the precise shape of the continuum spectrum, we will use only the data obtained by the GIS for spectral analysis. (Note that the X-ray spectra in Figure 2 of Takahashi et al. (2000) show the data obtained by SIS. For this, there is a too strong decrease of inferred flux at the lower energy, which is due to instrumental effects described above.)

2.2. RXTE

The *RXTE* observations (PI: G. Madejski) were mainly coordinated to coincide with the *ASCA* observation period, but were conducted mostly only during the low-background orbits. The *RXTE* extends the *ASCA* bandpass to a higher energy with the instruments PCA (Proportional Counter Array; 2–60 keV) and HEXTE (High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment; 15–200 keV). However, because both the flux from the source and the sensitivities of the detectors decrease towards higher energies, in the analysis below we use only the data from the PCA, in the energy range of 3–25 keV.

All data reduction was performed using the HEASOFT software packages, based on the REX script, provided by the *RXTE* Guest Observer Facility (GOF). The screening criteria excluded the data when the elevation angle from the Earth's limb was lower than 10° , and the data acquired during the SAA passages. Since the PCUs are activated during the SAA passage, we used the data only after when the background level dropped to the quiescent level and became stable, which is typically 30 minutes after the peak of a SAA passage.

For the best signal-to-noise, we selected events only from the top xenon layer, and excluded events from other gas layers. For the maximum consistency among the observations, we used only the three detectors which were turned on for all the observations (known as PCU0, PCU1, and PCU2). Since the PCA is not an imaging detector, the blank-sky observations must be used to estimate the background spectrum. For this, we used the background models provided by the *RXTE* GOF to evaluate the background during each observation. We note that the residual background contamination of the data within the energy bandpass selected by us was always significantly lower than

the source counts. The mean net *RXTE* PCA count rate from Mrk 421 in the 10 - 20 keV range for 3 PCUs as above was at least ~ 3 counts s^{-1} while the total residuals from blank-sky in this energy range *plus* the fluctuations of the Cosmic X-ray Background were lower than 0.2 counts s^{-1} (see HEASARC Web page regarding the *RXTE* PCA background), providing the confidence that an imprecise background subtraction did not affect our results.

2.3. *BeppoSAX*

The *BeppoSAX* observations (PI: L. Chiappetti) started 3 days before the *ASCA* observations (Maraschi et al. 1999; Fossati et al. 2000a,b). We use the data obtained by the LECS (Low Energy Concentrator Spectrometer; 0.1–10 keV), which extends the bandpass to lower energy, and also the MECS (Medium Energy Concentrator Spectrometer; 1.3–10 keV). The data analysis was based on the linearized event files, together with the appropriate background event files and response matrices, provided by the *BeppoSAX* Science Data Center (SDC). Data reduction was performed using the standard HEASOFT software, XSELECT.

We extracted the source photons from a circular region centered at the source with a radius of 8 arcmin for both LECS and MECS. For the background photons, we constructed the spectra by extracting the photons from the same detector regions using blank-sky observations. Each spectrum was rebinned using the grouping templates provided by the *BeppoSAX* SDC, and we limited the energy range to 0.12–4.0 keV for LECS, and 1.65–10.5 keV for MECS, as suggested in the *Cookbook for BeppoSAX NFI Spectral Analysis* (1999).

2.4. *EUVE*

The *EUVE* observations covered the entire campaign of Mrk 421 from April 19.4 to May 1.2 (PI: T. Takahashi). The source photons were extracted in a 12 arcmin aperture. In order to estimate the absolute flux in the EUV range, we normalized it to be consistent with the simultaneous data obtained by the other observatories. Given that the EUV spectrum is difficult to determine within the rather limited bandpass, we used only the observed integrated count rate (cf. Marshall, Fruscione & Carone 1995). For this, we used the period where the observations at all observatories overlapped (MJD 50927.03 - 50927.34; §3.1). During this period, the average *EUVE* count rate was 0.46 ± 0.01 cts/s. At the same time, the 0.13–0.18 keV flux derived from the combined LECS, MECS, GIS, and PCA spectrum gives $\nu F_\nu = (1.78 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-10}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} , where the error represents the statistical error.

An additional uncertainty arises from the fact that the difference in the spectral shape is not taken into account. This can be estimated from the results in Marshall, Fruscione & Carone (1995). Taking $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm^{-2} for Mrk 421, assuming that the spectrum index varies from 0.5 to 1.0, the flux density would vary from 1363–1394 μJy for 1 cts/s in the *EUVE* range. This gives an additional 2.5% error as a systematic error.

In the following analysis, we use the above value for scaling the observed *EUVE* count rate to flux. Thus,

$$\nu F_\nu(\text{EUVE}) = 1.78 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{F_{\text{cts/s}}}{0.46} \right) \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}, \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\text{cts/s}}$ is the *EUVE* count rate.

3. RESULTS

3.1. The Shape of the 0.1–25 keV Spectrum

During April 24, observations by all 3 X-ray satellites, *ASCA*, *BeppoSAX*, *RXTE*, and also *EUVE* happened to exactly overlap continuously for 26.5 ksec (MJD 50927.03 - 50927.34). This provides us with high quality data over a very broad energy coverage, spanning more than 2 decades, from 0.12 to 25 keV. The net exposure for each instrument during the overlapping 26.5 ks period were 9.1, 13.3, 3.6, and 5.5 ksec for GIS, PCA, LECS, MECS, respectively.

We first fitted the spectrum for each instrument with a power-law model, with a low energy absorption fixed to the Galactic value, $N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm^{-2} (Elvis, Wilkes, & Lockman 1989). The results are shown in the top half of Table 1, suggesting that the fit is not adequate for any of the individual instruments. This certainly suggests that a more complex model, rather than just a simple power-law function, is required to describe the spectrum.

The shape of the synchrotron spectrum is determined from the energy distribution of the radiating electrons, which cuts off at the high energy representing the acceleration limit. As described in the introduction, the high energy end of the synchrotron spectrum in TeV blazars is located in the X-ray range; this is most likely the reason that the high-quality X-ray data cannot be described by a single power-law.

Given the curved spectrum for each instrument, we fitted the combined X-ray spectrum with various functions to model the shape of the observed curvature. We note that our aim is not to develop the shape of the curvature from first principles, but rather, to reproduce the observed data. For this reason, we considered the following models for comparison: (1) A broken power-law, (2) a curved power-law, (3) a power-law with an exponential cutoff, and (4) a quadratic function in $\log \nu - \log \nu F_\nu$ plane. The curved power-law that we define below is similar to the broken power-law model, but the change in the spectral index is not discrete. The form attempted by us is $F(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1} (1 + \frac{E}{E_{\text{br}}})^{-\Gamma_2}$. The form for the exponentially cutoff power law is $F(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1} \exp(-E/E_{\text{br}})$, and the quadratic function is described as $\log \nu F_\nu(E) = \log(\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}) - a(\log E - \log E_{\text{peak}})^2$.

Since there are slight differences in the cross-calibration between the instruments, we allow the normalization to vary for each instrument. For all results below, the flux will be normalized to the GIS value, unless otherwise noted. The N_H is fixed to the Galactic value.

By fitting the spectrum with the models above, we first found that the power-law with an exponential cutoff is not a good representation of the data: the model cutoff is too sharp at high energies. The broken power-law model is also not acceptable, and the curved power-law is significantly better. We also find that the quadratic function fits the spectrum surprisingly well. The fitted spectrum and the residuals to the quadratic model are shown in Figure 1, where all LECS, MECS, GIS, and PCA spectra are shown to be well described with this single function. The results of the spectral fits by the 4 models are summarized in the bottom half of Table 1.

3.2. The Time Resolved Spectra

The *EUVE* observation covered the whole campaign (April 19.4 to May 1.2), and thus completely overlapped our 7-day *ASCA* observation. This adds a data point at 0.13–0.18 keV in addition to the *ASCA* spectrum in the 0.7–7 keV range, providing us the best opportunity to study the continuous spectral variability. In fact this is the longest continuous monitoring of this object so far spanning over the 0.13–7 keV range.

We divided the whole observation into shorter segments with each having a duration of 10 ksec. In order to combine the GIS and *EUVE* data, the GIS count rate spectra must first be converted to the source flux spectra as the *EUVE* count rate data converts directly into flux (§2.4). For this, we first fit the GIS spectrum with a curved power-law model with Galactic absorption. This gives the source spectrum in the GIS energy range. Note that this procedure depends on the selected model, but long as it describes the spectrum well, this should introduce the smallest bias. We then combine this with the *EUVE* data, which gives the combined source spectrum.

In fitting the combined *EUVE*/GIS spectra, we first started with a single power-law model. In similarity to the results in §3.1, none of the 56 spectra could be fit with a single power-law function, with their average χ^2_ν of 7.7, implying the curvature of the spectra for all data sets. Given that a quadratic model fits the spectrum equally well as the curved power-law model, but with fewer parameters (see §3.1), we will assume the quadratic model for all data sets hereafter. In fact, because of the energy gap between the *EUVE* and GIS, the fit with a curved power-law model resulted in having multiple minima in the χ^2 plane (since the parameters are correlated), and the exact value of the best-fit parameters depended highly on the initial value.

We checked whether the fit was adequate for all data segments. The χ^2_ν for each fit (shown in the bottom panel in Figure 2) ranged from 0.71 to 1.45. The number of degrees of freedom ranges from 60 to 187, which gave $P(\chi^2) > 5\%$ for 53 out of the total of 56 data points. For the other 3 data points (corresponding to times 230–240, 270–280, and 450–460 ksec), we found several line or edge-like structures in the spectra, which resulted in the increase of χ^2_ν . Although it is not clear whether this is due to some systematic effect or if it is a true property of the source, since our aim is to model the continuum spectrum correctly, we excluded the energy ranges where those features are present. This improved the fit significantly, which is shown as the crosses. With this, all the 56 spectra were well described with the quadratic function.

The time evolution of the derived parameters in the fit is shown in the top 3 panels in Figure 2; the peak flux, peak energy, and the curvature parameter a (see definition in Table 1). The peak energy is observed to shift between 0.5 keV and 1.2 keV, in which a general trend is found such that the peak energy is higher when the peak flux is higher. This is demonstrated as the filled circles in Figure 3. The fit results are listed in Table 2.

We do note that the actual validity of using a quadratic function in the entire 0.1–25 keV range was checked in detail (including an examination of trends in residuals) only for the epoch when the overlapping *BeppoSAX*, *ASCA*, and *RXTE* observations were available. Since we mea-

sured only the *EUVE* flux below 0.7 keV in the combined *EUVE*/GIS fit, the derived peak location can depend on the model function we assume. For instance if *any* function was allowed, the peak can be located at any energy within the gap. For this, we emphasize that the derived peak location is the estimated peak, assuming that the spectrum gap can be extrapolated with the same quadratic function, and also that the error bar describes only the statistical error.

We also analyzed the *BeppoSAX* data during the campaign. Furthermore, in order to make a comparison with data obtained from another campaign, we also analyzed the *BeppoSAX* data obtained in 1997 (PI: G. Vacanti, L. Chiappetti). We divided the observation into segments of the same 10 ks duration, and fit the combined LECS/MECS spectra with a quadratic function. For the *BeppoSAX* data, we also fit the spectra with a curved power-law model for comparison. The derived peak flux and peak energy from both models are listed in Table 3. In fitting the combined LECS/MECS spectra, the normalizations are allowed to vary independently, and the values in Table 3 are normalized to the MECS2 value. The fitting results show that although the spectral fit parameter is one less in the quadratic function, both models equally represent the observed spectra. In contrast to the combined *EUVE*/GIS data, there is no energy gap in the *BeppoSAX* data, which provides support that our assumed quadratic form is appropriate in estimating the peak location in the *EUVE*/GIS case. The derived peak flux and peak energy using both functions are similar, but we also note that the quadratic function gives a slightly lower peak energy than the curved power-law function.

The derived peak flux and peak energy assuming the quadratic model for the *BeppoSAX* observations are shown in Figure 3 as the open circles (for 1998) and squares (for 1997). Note that the *BeppoSAX* data points in Figure 3 are multiplied by a normalization factor of 1.07, which we adopted from the combined fit in §3.1. It can be seen that the results during the 1998 campaign lie on a similar slope as the results derived from the *EUVE*/GIS data during the same campaign.

The relation of peak flux $\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}$ and peak energy E_{peak} for the *EUVE*/GIS data set can be best described with a power-law function of $E_{\text{peak}} \propto \nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^\alpha$, where $\alpha = 0.72 \pm 0.02$ (or $\epsilon = 0.76 \pm 0.02$ in form $E_{\text{peak}} \propto F_{0.1-10\text{keV}}^\epsilon$; see description below). By including also the *BeppoSAX* data points during 1998, the index becomes $\alpha = 0.77 \pm 0.02$ ($\epsilon = 0.72 \pm 0.02$). It can be seen that the data from 1997 lie on a somewhat different slope. The relation derived from the 1997 data *alone* gives $\alpha = 0.96 \pm 0.09$ ($\epsilon = 0.79 \pm 0.06$), slightly steeper than the index derived from the 1998 campaign. Fitting all data together we obtain $\alpha = 0.59 \pm 0.01$ ($\epsilon = 0.50 \pm 0.01$). All errors correspond to a 1σ error.

A similar analysis was performed by Fossati et al. (2000b) for the 1997 and 1998 *BeppoSAX* data. Fossati et al. (2000b) note a tight relationship between the measured integrated 0.1–10 keV flux $F_{0.1-10\text{keV}}$ and the peak energy E_{peak} such that $E_{\text{peak}} \propto F_{0.1-10\text{keV}}^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = 0.55 \pm 0.05$, similar to the index derived from our results including all data sets. For a direct comparison, we fit simultaneously both 1997 and 1998 for *BeppoSAX* data points only. This gave $\alpha = 0.52 \pm 0.02$ ($\epsilon = 0.45 \pm 0.01$). The estimated ϵ

is slightly smaller than reported in Fossati et al. (2000b), which might be affected by different subdividing of the observations. Nonetheless, it is worth noting that the slope derived from the combined data appears to be softer (smaller α or ϵ) than each epoch considered alone.

Furthermore, the relation appeared to also vary between individual flares during the 1998 campaign. In some flares the peak energy clearly increases together with flux, while the correlation is weaker in others. This can be seen clearly in Figure 4, which shows the evolution of the synchrotron peak in each flare. The peak energy has a trend to shift to the higher energy and then return to the initial value together with the flux, but there is no common slope.

3.3. Spectral Variations at Flares

In the previous section, we described the spectral variations as the evolution of the location of the peak in the νF_ν spectrum. Here, we take advantage of the wide energy range coverage afforded by the use of *EUVE*, *ASCA*, and *RXTE* data to investigate spectral variations in more detail. Given that the *RXTE* observations happen mostly during the flare minima rather than at their peaks (see Figure 1 in Takahashi et al. 2000), here we focus on the beginning (rising portion) of the flares.

We divided the observations into 10 ksec segments, similar to the previous section. For each segment, the GIS and PCA spectra are fitted simultaneously with a curved power-law function with an additional constant factor for the normalization. The derived source spectra in the GIS and PCA range are combined with the *EUVE* data point, which gives the total source spectrum in the energy range of ~ 0.1 –25 keV. In the top panels of Figures 5–7, we show the evolution of the νF_ν spectrum derived in this manner for the 2nd, 4th, and 5th flare (starting from 80 ksec, 260 ksec, and 340 ksec, respectively, as defined in Figure 2). Note that the spectra are further rebinned for this Figure. For each flare, we also plot a subsequent spectrum, but those only include the *EUVE* and GIS data.

To see the evolution more clearly, we calculated the ratio of the rebinned spectra by dividing these “subsequent” spectra by the spectrum measured at the onset of a given flare (integrated over 80–90, 260–270, 340–350 ksec, respectively). This is shown in the bottom panels of Figures 5–7. Interestingly, these three flares all showed different features. It can be seen that Flare 2 starts with a hardening at the very highest energy range with a concave shape in the ratio. As time progresses, the whole energy range becomes dominated by a new spectral slope. In contrast to this, in Flare 4 the lower energy photons appear to precede the total flux rise. Flare 5 was similar to Flare 2, but all energy bands increase together, with a larger amplitude at higher energies. We also studied the spectral variation for other flares, but a detailed investigation was rather difficult without a denser temporal coverage by *RXTE*.

3.4. The X-ray and TeV Correlation

Since X-ray and TeV energies both reflect the electrons accelerated to the highest energies in TeV blazars, simultaneous X-ray and TeV observations provide an important tool to probe the emission region of the jet (e.g., Krawczynski et al. 2002, and references therein). On the other hand,

since blazars are highly variable on short time scales, exactly simultaneous observations are essential. Thanks to the relatively high brightness of the source during the 1998 campaign, it was possible to generate a significant measurement for the separate TeV pointings every night (Aharonian et al. 1999; Takahashi et al. 2000). Here we provide the X-ray spectrum from the exactly simultaneous time regions with the TeV observations.

For each TeV observation by *HEGRA* (Aharonian et al. 1999) and *Whipple* (Takahashi et al. 2000), we collected all existing X-ray data during the TeV exposure, from the *ASCA*, *RXTE*, and *EUVE* observations (the *CAT* observations mostly overlap with the *HEGRA* observations). In particular, all *Whipple* pointings during the *ASCA* observations happened to coincide with the *RXTE* observations, providing an X-ray spectrum ranging from 0.1–25 keV, simultaneous with the TeV observation.

We generated the combined X-ray spectrum using the same procedures as described in the previous sections. We then fitted the X-ray spectrum for each period assuming a quadratic function. The X-ray spectra during the *HEGRA* observations (consisting of the *EUVE* and *ASCA* data) are shown in Figure 8(a). The spectrum for each observation is shown as different symbols, and the dashed line shows the modeled quadratic function derived via the fit. The X-ray spectra during the *Whipple* observations, which consist of the *EUVE*, *ASCA* and *RXTE* data are shown in Figure 8(b). Note that the spectra are further rebinned in the figures. While each integration time is as short as several hours, it can be seen that the curved shape of the high energy peak of the synchrotron spectrum is clearly resolved, and it is evident that the peak is located in the X-ray range.

The results of fits to each X-ray spectrum concurrent with the TeV pointings are summarized in Table 4. We also list the simultaneous TeV fluxes, in which the *HEGRA* data were taken by Aharonian et al. (1999). In Figure 9, we show the correlation of the derived location of the synchrotron peak flux with the TeV flux. Since the sensitive energy ranges of the two TeV telescopes are slightly different, we used the integrated flux in Crab units. A good correlation can be seen, showing that the TeV flux is higher when the synchrotron peak flux is higher. A best fit to the relation with a power-law function gives $F_{\text{TeV}} \propto F_{\text{sy,peak}}^\alpha$ with $\alpha = 1.7 \pm 0.3$.

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have performed detailed analysis of the combined *EUVE*, *ASCA*, *BeppoSAX*, and *RXTE* data collected during the long look campaign of Mrk 421 in 1998, resulting in the measurement of the time-resolved spectrum in a broad energy range of 0.1–25 keV in segments as short as several ksec. These are among the highest quality spectra with regard to both photon statistics and energy coverage so far for any blazar, providing the precise spectral shape at the highest energy end of the synchrotron spectrum.

We have shown that both curved power-law function and quadratic function in $\log \nu - \log \nu F_\nu$ space reproduce the combined *EUVE*, *BeppoSAX*, *ASCA*, and *RXTE* spectrum. For the epochs where *BeppoSAX* data do not exist, we assumed that the spectral gap between *EUVE* and *ASCA* can be extrapolated with a quadratic function, and

we showed that the energy and the flux at the synchrotron peak in the νF_ν spectrum are correlated, showing an overall trend of a higher synchrotron peak energy for higher peak flux, but the details of this relation differed from flare to flare. In particular, within the three flare beginnings, one flare started from a rise in the higher energy while another started from a rise in the lower energy. The relative amplitude of the rise in different energies also differed from flare to flare. An interesting result was shown from the 2nd flare, where it was indicated that this flare started with a hardening at the higher energy. This feature is difficult to describe by a simple cooling or acceleration of a single electron distribution (see e.g. Kataoka 2000), and thus this concave curvature indicates that two different spectral components were likely to co-exist at the beginning of the flare. We remark that such a behavior is observed in only one flare, but the importance is that we observed a flare that could not be explained by a single electron distribution. This suggests an appearance of a new component, which generated the observed flare.

Recently, many theoretical studies have been performed to model the energy dependence, or time lags observed in the day-scale flares in blazars. One approach considers models with a homogeneous emission region (Mastichiadis & Kirk 1997; Chiaberge & Ghisellini 1999; Li & Kusunose 2000). For instance, Mastichiadis & Kirk (1997) have suggested that a sudden increase in the maximum energy of the accelerated electrons could result in the X-ray and TeV flare observed in Mrk 421. Similar results were shown by Kataoka et al. (2000), in reproducing the soft-lag observed in PKS 2155–304. However, most of these models assume a change in some parameter in the emission region (such as the magnetic field, maximum energy of electrons, number density of electrons, etc.). The observational results for Mrk 421 described above lead us to suggest a different scenario.

An alternative scenario has each flare forming as a result of a separate electron distribution. This was suggested in modeling the high state SED of Mrk 501 (Kataoka et al. 1999). They applied the one-zone homogeneous synchrotron self-Compton model to the SED from April 1997, and concluded that a single electron distribution is insufficient to reproduce the observed synchrotron spectrum. This scenario could work for instance when the jet is emitted intermittently from the central engine, and several separate regions generate different emission components. An

other viable scenario can be provided by an internal shock model, where the light curve results from a superposition of many flares due to the collisions of shells, which may occur when a faster shell catches up to a slower shell (Ghisellini 2001, Spada et al. 2001; Sikora et al. 2001)

In fact, Tanihata et al. (2003) has shown from simulations that the internal shock model can naturally explain various variability properties observed in TeV blazars. One observational fact is that the X-ray flares always appear to lie on top of an underlying offset-like component. In the internal shock model, flares can be considered as arising from collisions of shells that had the largest difference in the initial velocity. All other collisions generate smaller amplitude, longer flares, which pile up to generate the offset component. In this case, it was shown that the flares will tend to have a higher synchrotron peak energy as compared with that of the offset component.

Assuming that flares are due to an emergence of a new component with a higher synchrotron peak energy than the pre-existing component, the synchrotron peak energy in the observed spectrum would appear as shifting to a higher energy. It is interesting to note that our observations are consistent with this, with the overall trend of the synchrotron peak energy being higher during flares. Furthermore in this case, the spectral evolution would depend on the relation of the new and pre-existing spectra. This would naturally explain the different spectral evolution among different flares.

Finally, we remark again that due to the data gap between the *EUVE* and *ASCA* data in our observation, the results concerning the spectrum peak are somewhat tentative. Because of the rapid variability of the source in short time scales, the effective area of the instrument is most important. Long-look observations by the new observatories such as *XMM-Newton* should provide data allowing a more detailed analysis of the flaring mechanism, leading to the dynamics of the accelerated electrons in blazar jets.

We thank the anonymous referee for numbers of constructive comments to improve the paper. Support for this work was provided by the Fellowship of Japan Society for Promotion of Science for Young Scientists, and by the Department of Energy contract to SLAC no. DE-AC3-76SF00515. We also acknowledge the NASA Chandra grants via SAO grant no. GO1-2113X.

REFERENCES

- Aharonian, F. A. et al. 1999, *A&A*, 350, 757
 Burke, B. E., et al. 1991, *IEEE Trans. ED-38*, 1069
 Chiaberge, M., & Ghisellini, G. 1999, *MNRAS*, 306, 551
 Dermer, C. D., Schlickeiser, R., & Mastichiadis, A. 1992, *A&A*, 256, L27
 Dermer, C. D., & Schlickeiser, R. 1993, *ApJ*, 416, 458
 Elvis, M., Wilkes, B. J., & Lockman, F. J. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 777
 Fossati, G. et al. 2000a, *ApJ*, 541, 153
 Fossati, G. et al. 2000b, *ApJ*, 541, 166
 Gaidos, J. A., et al. 1996, *Nature*, 383, 319
 Ghisellini, G., & Maraschi, L. 1989, *ApJ*, 340, 181
 Ghisellini, G. 2001, *ASP Conf. Ser. 227: Blazar Demographics and Physics*, 85
 Jones, T. W., O'Dell, S. L., & Stein, W. A. 1974, *ApJ*, 188, 353
 Kataoka, J., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 138
 Kataoka, J., Takahashi, T., Makino, F., Madejski, G. M., Tashiro, M., Urry, C. M., & Kubo, H. 2000, *ApJ*, 528, 243
 Kataoka, J., Ph.D Thesis, University of Tokyo
 Kataoka, J. et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 560, 659
 Krawczynski, H., Coppi, P. S., & Aharonian, F. 2002, *MNRAS*, 336, 721
 Li, H., & Kusunose, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 729
 Lin, Y. C. et al. 1992, *ApJ*, 401, L61
 Macomb, D. J., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, L99
 Maraschi, L. et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 526, L8
 Marshall, H. L., Fruscione, A., Carone, T. E. 1995 *ApJ*, 439, 90
 Mastichiadis, A. & Kirk, J. G. 1997, *A&A*, 320, 19
 Ohashi, T., et al. 1996, *PASJ*, 48, 157
 Petry, D. et al. 1996, *A&A*, 311, L13
 Pian, E., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, L17
 Piron, F. et al. 2001, *A&A*, 374, 895
 Punch, M., et al. 1992, *Nature*, 358, 477
 Sikora, M., Begelman, M. C., & Rees, M. J. 1994, *ApJ*, 421, 153
 Sikora, M., Blażejowski, M., Begelman, M. C., & Moderski, R. 2001, *ApJ*, 561, 1154

Spada, M., Ghisellini, G., Lazzati, D., & Celotti, A. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 1559
 Takahashi, T., et al. 2000, ApJ, 542 L105
 Tanihata, C. et al. 2001, ApJ, 563, 569
 Tanihata, C., Takahashi, T., Kataoka, J., & Madejski, G. M. 2003, ApJ, 584, 153
 Tavecchio, F. et al. 2001, ApJ, 554, 725

Fiore, F., Guainazzi, M., Grandi, P. 1999, *Cookbook for BeppoSAX NFI Spectral Analysis*, [ftp://www.sdc.asi.it/pub/sax/doc/software_docs/saxabc_v1.2.ps.gz](http://www.sdc.asi.it/pub/sax/doc/software_docs/saxabc_v1.2.ps.gz)
 Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, PASP, 107, 803
 Yamashita, A., et al. 1997, IEEE Trans Nucl. Sci., 44, 847
 Yaqoob, T., & ASCA team, ASCA GOF Calibration memo [ASCA-CAL-00-06-01.v1.0(06/05/00)]

FIG. 1.— The joint fit with a quadratic function to the LECS, MECS, GIS, and PCA spectra during the period when the ASCA, *BeppoSAX*, and *RXTE* observations overlapped (MJD 50927.03 – 50927.34). The top panel shows the photon spectrum for each instrument. The solid line shows the best-fit model. The bottom four panels shows the residuals of the observed data to the model. See Table 1 for the values of the fit parameters.

FIG. 2.— The time evolution of the spectrum fit parameters to the combined *EUVE* and ASCA spectrum, assuming a quadratic function (see text). The top three panels show the peak flux (top), peak energy (second), and the curvature parameter (third; see definition in Table 1). The flux is in units of 10^{-12} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$, and peak energy is in keV. The bottom panel shows the reduced- χ^2 for each of the fits. Three out of the 56 spectra showed a poor fit ($P(\chi^2) < 5\%$) due to several line or edge-like structures, where the fit is significantly improved after excluding the energy ranges that included these structures, shown as the crosses.

FIG. 3.— The correlation of the synchrotron peak flux and peak energy for Mrk 421, assuming a quadratic function. Results derived from the combined *EUVE* and ASCA spectra during the 1998 campaign are shown as the filled circles. Results from the *BeppoSAX* spectra from the 1998 campaign and 1997 observation are shown as the open circles and squares, respectively. The fluxes for the *BeppoSAX* data are multiplied by a factor of 1.07 to normalize them to the ASCA fluxes. Each data point corresponds to the value derived from a spectrum integrated over 10 ksec.

FIG. 4.— The time evolution of the correlation of the synchrotron peak flux $\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}$ and peak energy E_{peak} for Mrk 421 during the 1998 campaign, plotted separately for each of the flares defined in Figure 2. The initial values in each period are shown as a star, and the connecting line shows the time order. This Figure illustrates that the relation of $\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}$ and E_{peak} differ from flare to flare.

FIG. 5.— The spectral evolution during the rise of the second flare in the Mrk 421 observation during the 1998 campaign. The ratio of the spectrum to that of the onset of the rise is shown in the lower panel. It can be seen that the flare starts with a hardening at the highest energy range with a concave shape in the ratio, which with time, propagates down to the lower energies. In the last spectrum, which is 40 ks after the initial one, the whole spectrum is described with the new slope.

FIG. 6.— The spectral evolution during the rise of the fourth flare in the Mrk 421 observation during the 1998 campaign. The ratio of the spectrum to that of the onset of the rise is shown in the lower panel.

FIG. 7.— The spectral evolution during the rise of the fifth flare in the Mrk 421 observation during the 1998 campaign. The ratio of the spectrum to that of the onset of the rise is shown in the lower panel.

FIG. 8.— The X-ray spectra of Mrk 421 obtained simultaneously with the (a) *HEGRA* and (b) *Whipple* observations during the 1998 campaign. The X-ray spectra with different symbols correspond to each TeV observation shown in the label. The X-ray data include those collected by the *EUVE* and ASCA satellites for the *HEGRA* pointing, and additionally, by the *RXTE* satellite for the *Whipple* observations, and clearly show the curvature of the synchrotron spectrum.

FIG. 9.— The correlation of the synchrotron peak flux and the TeV flux (in Crab units) for the simultaneous observations of Mrk 421 during the campaign in 1998. The synchrotron peak flux is derived from observations by *EUVE* and ASCA (and *RXTE* for the *Whipple* pointings) during the exactly simultaneous epochs where the TeV data are available. The letters H and W in the legend denote *HEGRA* and *Whipple* pointings.

TABLE 1
RESULTS OF SPECTRAL FITS FOR MRK 421 DURING MJD 50927.03 – 50927.34

Instrument ^a	Model	Γ_1^b	Γ_2^b	E_{br}^c	E_{peak}^c	$\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^d$	a^g	χ_ν^2 (dof)
LECS (0.12-4)	PL	2.20 \pm 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	2.85 (83)
MECS (1.65-10.5)	PL	2.76 \pm 0.02	-	-	-	-	-	1.28 (193)
GIS (0.7-7)	PL	2.52 \pm 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	1.29 (124)
PCA (3-25)	PL	2.91 \pm 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	2.63 (50)
LECS,MECS,GIS,PCA	broken PL	2.21 \pm 0.01	2.90 \pm 0.01	2.24 \pm 0.04	-	-	-	1.86 (451)
LECS,MECS,GIS,PCA	curved PL ^e	1.77 \pm 0.04	1.66 \pm 0.03	2.5 \pm 0.3	-	-	-	1.08 (451)
LECS,MECS,GIS,PCA	cutoff PL ^f	2.17 \pm 0.01	-	7.80 \pm 0.14	-	-	-	1.74 (452)
LECS,MECS,GIS,PCA	quadratic ^g	-	-	-	0.47 \pm 0.02	217 \pm 3	0.42 \pm 0.01	1.07 (452)

^aThe numbers in parentheses show the energy range used in the spectral fitting

^bPhoton index

^cin keV

^din 10^{-12} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$

^e $F(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1} (1 + \frac{E}{E_{\text{br}}})^{-\Gamma_2}$

^f $F(E) = KE^{-\Gamma_1} \exp(-E/E_{\text{br}})$

^g $\log \nu F_\nu(E) = \log(\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}) - a(\log E - \log E_{\text{peak}})^2$

Note. — All errors represent the 1σ error.

TABLE 2
SPECTRAL FIT PARAMETERS FOR THE COMBINED *EUVE* /*ASCA* SPECTRA.

Observation ^a	E_{peak}^b	$\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^c$	a^d	χ^2_ν (dof)
1	0.53±0.02	230±2	0.39±0.02	1.06 (66)
2	0.51±0.02	244±3	0.39±0.02	0.95 (85)
3	0.57±0.02	266±2	0.39±0.01	0.95 (122)
4	0.69±0.02	280±2	0.48±0.01	1.22 (106)
5	0.62±0.01	331±2	0.42±0.01	1.08 (116)
6	0.72±0.02	372±2	0.40±0.01	0.97 (137)
7	0.63±0.04	377±5	0.41±0.02	0.89 (145)
8	0.60±0.03	323±4	0.46±0.02	1.20 (87)
9	0.49±0.02	277±3	0.38±0.02	0.80 (82)
10	0.55±0.02	270±2	0.36±0.01	0.92 (79)
11	0.58±0.02	278±2	0.36±0.01	1.10 (137)
12	0.59±0.02	307±2	0.36±0.01	1.04 (126)
13	0.59±0.02	337±2	0.38±0.01	0.97 (125)
14	0.66±0.02	358±2	0.39±0.01	1.01 (154)
15	0.69±0.02	324±3	0.43±0.02	0.73 (97)
16	0.65±0.02	303±2	0.37±0.01	0.89 (87)
18	0.71±0.02	333±2	0.35±0.01	0.93 (98)
19	0.76±0.02	364±2	0.37±0.01	0.92 (173)
20	0.68±0.02	346±2	0.38±0.01	1.16 (144)
21	0.77±0.02	337±2	0.42±0.01	1.04 (132)
22	0.86±0.02	391±2	0.40±0.01	1.14 (169)
23	0.89±0.02	458±2	0.43±0.01	0.97 (184)
24	0.73±0.03	423±3	0.34±0.02	1.21 (119)
25	0.87±0.03	379±3	0.41±0.02	0.85 (113)
26	0.85±0.02	366±2	0.36±0.01	0.88 (95)
27	0.71±0.02	336±2	0.36±0.01	1.14 (153)
28	0.68±0.02	397±3	0.38±0.01	1.09 (146)
29	0.74±0.02	404±3	0.39±0.01	0.85 (125)
30	0.70±0.01	423±2	0.41±0.01	1.08 (176)
31	0.69±0.01	442±2	0.40±0.01	0.88 (181)
32	0.74±0.02	439±3	0.41±0.01	0.81 (124)
33	0.66±0.02	459±3	0.38±0.01	1.07 (136)
34	0.83±0.02	390±3	0.43±0.01	0.97 (93)
35	0.72±0.02	350±2	0.34±0.01	1.02 (135)
36	0.73±0.03	365±2	0.30±0.01	0.78 (136)
37	0.85±0.02	388±2	0.34±0.01	0.96 (165)
38	0.95±0.02	413±2	0.33±0.01	0.97 (187)
39	1.11±0.02	515±2	0.33±0.01	1.07 (182)
40	1.09±0.03	469±2	0.36±0.01	0.96 (146)
41	1.02±0.04	423±2	0.32±0.02	1.11 (153)
42	0.88±0.02	348±2	0.37±0.01	0.70 (92)
43	0.76±0.03	352±2	0.32±0.01	0.98 (131)
44	0.76±0.03	369±3	0.30±0.02	1.23 (84)
45	0.94±0.03	343±2	0.27±0.01	0.98 (97)
46	0.80±0.02	293±1	0.29±0.01	0.96 (126)
47	0.92±0.02	308±2	0.33±0.01	1.09 (138)
48	0.88±0.03	311±2	0.37±0.01	1.04 (130)
49	0.85±0.03	378±3	0.30±0.02	1.13 (80)
50	1.13±0.03	476±2	0.32±0.01	1.04 (132)
51	1.12±0.02	443±2	0.35±0.01	0.79 (144)
52	0.95±0.03	416±3	0.36±0.02	0.86 (112)
53	0.95±0.02	429±2	0.36±0.01	1.04 (171)
54	0.85±0.02	365±2	0.38±0.01	1.13 (180)
55	0.82±0.02	341±2	0.34±0.01	1.02 (152)
56	0.98±0.02	372±2	0.35±0.01	1.10 (157)
57	0.99±0.03	396±2	0.32±0.01	1.00 (166)

^aEach number represents the observation divided into 10 ksec segments

^bin keV

^cin $10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

^dDefinition is given in Table 1

Note. — All errors represent the 1σ error.

TABLE 3
SPECTRAL FIT PARAMETERS FOR THE *BeppoSAX* SPECTRA

Observation ^a		Quadratic				Curved Power-law		
		E_{peak}^b	$\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^c$	a^d	χ^2_ν (dof)	E_{peak}^b	$\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^c$	χ^2_ν (dof)
1997.4.29	1	0.54±0.03	137±3	0.48±0.02	0.99 (126)	0.56±0.02	146±6	0.96 (125)
	2	0.46±0.02	124±2	0.48±0.02	1.21 (126)	0.49±0.02	125±4	1.23 (125)
	3	0.50±0.01	131±2	0.46±0.01	1.16 (126)	0.53±0.01	134±3	1.15 (125)
1997.4.30	1	0.53±0.03	132±4	0.48±0.02	1.24 (126)	0.55±0.04	127±5	1.25 (125)
	2	0.47±0.02	127±3	0.47±0.02	0.88 (126)	0.50±0.02	132±5	0.85 (125)
	3	0.55±0.03	124±3	0.51±0.02	0.85 (126)	0.58±0.03	123±4	0.84 (125)
	4	0.52±0.01	129±2	0.47±0.01	0.81 (126)	0.55±0.01	130±2	0.79 (125)
1997.5.1	1	0.45±0.03	129±4	0.45±0.02	1.11 (126)	0.48±0.03	131±6	1.11 (125)
	2	0.57±0.03	144±3	0.44±0.02	1.03 (126)	0.59±0.03	146±4	1.03 (125)
	3	0.58±0.02	178±4	0.49±0.02	0.84 (126)	0.61±0.03	170±5	0.85 (125)
	4	0.52±0.01	143±2	0.44±0.01	1.03 (126)	0.55±0.02	145±2	1.01 (125)
1997.5.2	1	0.57±0.02	171±3	0.46±0.01	0.86 (126)	0.60±0.02	174±4	0.85 (125)
1997.5.3	1	0.42±0.03	120±4	0.48±0.02	0.97 (126)	0.39±0.06	116±4	0.96 (125)
	2	0.42±0.02	123±3	0.44±0.02	1.13 (126)	0.41±0.05	120±4	1.14 (125)
1997.5.4	1	0.31±0.02	105±4	0.50±0.03	1.23 (126)	0.22±0.09	104±5	1.25 (125)
	2	0.32±0.02	103±3	0.50±0.02	1.23 (126)	0.32±0.05	103±4	1.24 (125)
1997.5.5	1	0.38±0.02	127±4	0.47±0.02	1.24 (126)	0.42±0.03	131±5	1.24 (125)
	2	0.37±0.02	127±3	0.46±0.02	1.24 (126)	0.40±0.03	129±4	1.25 (125)
1998.4.21-	1	0.69±0.04	341±8	0.42±0.02	1.04 (126)	0.69±0.04	357±13	1.01 (125)
	2	0.85±0.02	404±6	0.42±0.01	1.12 (126)	0.93±0.03	397±7	1.06 (125)
	3	0.87±0.02	378±5	0.37±0.01	1.03 (126)	0.91±0.03	379±6	1.04 (125)
	4	0.83±0.03	337±5	0.37±0.01	0.93 (126)	0.87±0.04	337±7	0.94 (125)
	5	0.74±0.03	300±5	0.34±0.01	0.90 (126)	0.72±0.04	310±7	0.86 (125)
	6	0.78±0.03	274±4	0.37±0.01	1.31 (126)	0.82±0.03	274±5	1.33 (125)
	7	0.64±0.03	239±4	0.33±0.02	1.04 (126)	0.68±0.03	235±5	1.02 (125)
	8	0.67±0.03	236±5	0.38±0.02	0.95 (126)	0.70±0.04	235±6	0.96 (125)
1998.4.23-	1	0.65±0.03	309±8	0.44±0.02	1.11 (126)	0.70±0.05	287±8	1.06 (125)
	2	0.58±0.03	257±6	0.38±0.02	1.07 (126)	0.62±0.03	257±8	1.08 (125)
	3	0.56±0.02	247±5	0.40±0.02	0.90 (126)	0.58±0.02	254±7	0.88 (125)
	4	0.58±0.03	248±6	0.43±0.02	1.04 (126)	0.61±0.03	239±7	1.01 (125)
	5	0.57±0.02	253±5	0.44±0.02	0.86 (126)	0.60±0.02	251±7	0.87 (125)
	6	0.52±0.02	233±5	0.44±0.02	1.17 (126)	0.53±0.03	227±6	1.18 (125)
	7	0.49±0.02	220±5	0.43±0.02	1.34 (126)	0.51±0.03	218±6	1.36 (125)
	8	0.46±0.03	219±7	0.38±0.02	1.07 (126)	0.44±0.06	213±8	1.08 (125)
	9	0.45±0.03	217±7	0.42±0.02	1.04 (126)	0.34±0.09	203±7	1.01 (125)
	10	0.44±0.03	203±5	0.40±0.02	1.14 (126)	0.39±0.06	194±6	1.14 (125)

^aEach number represents the observation divided into 10 ksec segments

^bin keV

^cin $10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

^dDefinition is given in Table 1

Note. — All errors represent the 1σ error.

TABLE 4
SIMULTANEOUS TEV FLUX AND SYNCHROTRON PEAK ENERGY AND FLUX FOR MRK 421

Start Time (MJD)	Duration (ksec)	TeV		X-ray			
		Observatory	TeV flux ^a	E_{peak}^b	$\nu F_{\nu, \text{peak}}^c$	a^d	χ^2_ν (dof)
50926.8814	11.0	HEGRA	0.46±0.10	0.48±0.03	226±6	0.45±0.04	0.75(33)
50927.1390	13.7	Whipple	0.33±0.09	0.47±0.01	218±2	0.42±0.01	0.95(216)
50927.8839	10.5	HEGRA	0.79±0.12	0.74±0.02	381±3	0.43±0.01	1.18(210)
50928.2273	5.3	Whipple	0.33±0.07	0.56±0.02	269±3	0.42±0.01	0.95(142)
50928.8824	10.5	HEGRA	0.58±0.10	0.66±0.02	316±2	0.41±0.01	0.90(221)
50929.8848	10.1	HEGRA	1.10±0.13	0.77±0.02	424±2	0.36±0.01	1.13(205)
50930.1516	12.2	Whipple	0.54±0.05	0.81±0.02	364±2	0.40±0.01	0.99(274)
50930.8845	9.8	HEGRA	1.41±0.15	0.66±0.03	472±4	0.39±0.01	1.15(244)
50931.1467	10.3	Whipple	0.58±0.14	0.72±0.02	367±3	0.38±0.01	0.94(229)
50932.2041	6.9	Whipple	0.67±0.07	0.84±0.02	367±2	0.34±0.01	1.31(239)
50933.2358	3.4	Whipple	0.67±0.11	0.90±0.02	454±2	0.38±0.01	1.21(238)

^ain Crab units

^bin keV

^cin $10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

^dDefinition is given in Table 1

Note. — All errors represent the 1σ error.





